

REMARKS

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Office Action dated February 7, 2007 has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

By this Amendment, claims 18 and 34 are amended. Accordingly, claims 1-35 are currently pending, with claims 1-17 and 20-33 being withdrawn from consideration. Reexamination and reconsideration of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claims 18, 19, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Applicants' Related Art (ARA) in view of Brand et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,383,292). This rejection is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested.

Claim 18 is allowable over the cited references in that claim 18 recites a combination of elements including, for example, "...moving a position of at least one of the nozzles with respect to a position of the body to adjust a size of a dispensed pattern; dispensing a material through the plurality of nozzles at the same time from the syringe onto at least one of the thin film transistor array substrate and the color filter substrate..." None of the cited references including Brand et al., singly or in combination, teaches or suggests at least this feature of the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 18 and claim 19, which depends from claim 18, are allowable over the cited references.

In addition, Applicants respectfully submit that Brand et al. is a non-analogous art in determining the patentability of the present invention. The claimed invention is directed to a method of fabricating a liquid crystal display panel. Brand et al. is directed to a method for encapsulating semiconductor device such as a method for forming electronic packages. See the field of the invention section of Brand et al. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Brand et al. was not reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the inventor was concerned. See MPEP § 2141.01(a).

Claim 34 is allowable over the cited references in that claim 34 recites a combination of elements including, for example, "...moving a position of at least one of the nozzles with respect to a position of the body to adjust a size of a dispensed pattern; dispensing a material through the plurality of nozzles at the same time from the syringe onto at least one of the thin film transistor array substrate and the color filter substrate..." None of the cited references including Brand et


al., singly or in combination, teaches or suggests at least this feature of the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 34 and claim 35, which depends from claim 35, are allowable over the cited references.

Applicants believe the application is in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited. If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Dated: 7 May 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Eric J. Nuss

Registration No.: 40,106
McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 496-7500
Attorneys for Applicant